

1 DANIEL S. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 244245
drobinson@robinsonfirm.com
2 WESLEY K. POLISCHUK State Bar No. 254121
wpolischuk@robinsonfirm.com
3 **ROBINSON CALCAGNIE, INC.**
19 Corporate Plaza Drive
4 Newport Beach, CA 92660
5 Telephone: (949) 720-1288
Facsimile: (949) 720-1292

6 WAYNE R. GROSS, State Bar No. 138828
WGross@ggtriallaw.com
7 EVAN C. BORGES, State Bar No. 128706
EBorges@GGTrialLaw.com
8 **GREENBERG GROSS LLP**
9 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1750
10 Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (949) 383-2800
11 Facsimile: (949) 383-2801

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sheri Dodge, Neil Dodge,*
13 *Ram Agrawal, Sarita Agrawal and All Others*
Similarly Situated

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 SHERI DODGE and NEIL DODGE,
17 and RAM AGRAWAL and SARITA
18 AGRAWAL, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 PHH CORPORATION, a Maryland
corporation; REALOGY HOLDINGS
22 CORP., a Delaware corporation; PHH
HOME LOANS LLC, a Delaware
23 limited liability company; PHH
MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a
24 New Jersey corporation; RMR
FINANCIAL, LLC, a California
25 limited liability company; NE MOVES
MORTGAGE LLC, a Massachusetts
26 limited liability company; PHH
BROKER PARTNER
27 CORPORATION, a Maryland
corporation; REALOGY GROUP

Case No. 8:15-CV-01973-FMO-AFM

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL S.
ROBINSON IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES
AND SERVICE AWARDS**

Date: August 16, 2018
Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Fernando M. Olguin
Ctvm: 6D, 6th Floor – 1st Street

1 LLC, a Delaware limited liability
2 company; REALOGY
3 INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS LLC,
4 a Delaware limited liability company;
5 TITLE RESOURCE GROUP LLC, a
6 Delaware limited liability company;
7 WEST COAST ESCROW
8 COMPANY, a California corporation;
9 TRG SERVICES ESCROW, INC., a
10 Delaware corporation; EQUITY
11 TITLE COMPANY, a California
12 corporation; NRT LLC, a Delaware
13 limited liability company; REALOGY
14 SERVICES GROUP LLC, a Delaware
15 limited liability company; REALOGY
16 SERVICES VENTURE PARTNER
17 LLC, a Delaware limited liability
18 company,

19 Defendants.

20 I, Daniel S. Robinson, declare as follows:

21 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all courts of the State of
22 California and am admitted to practice in this Court. I am a partner at Robinson
23 Calcagnie, Inc., one of the court-appointed Class Counsel and one of the counsel of
24 record for Plaintiffs in this case. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated
25 herein and, if called upon, I could and would competently testify thereto. I submit this
26 Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and
27 Service Awards.

28 2. Plaintiffs and Defendants PHH Corporation, PHH Broker Partner Corp.,
PHH Mortgage Corp., Realogy Intermediate Holdings LLC, Realogy Holdings Corp.,
Realogy Group LLC, Realogy Services Venture Partner LLC, Realogy Services
Group LLC, Title Resource Group LLC ("TRG"), West Coast Escrow Company,
TRG Services Escrow, Inc., Equity Title Company, NRT LLC, PHH Home Loans,
LLC, RMR Financial, LLC, and NE Moves Mortgage LLC (collectively, the

1 “Defendants”) waited to negotiate attorneys’ fees and expenses and the service awards
2 until agreement was reached on all of the substantive terms of the Settlement.

3 3. The \$5.1 million attorneys’ fee request represents a multiplier of
4 approximately 1.41 to Class Counsel’s lodestar of \$3,618,755. This lodestar and
5 multiplier do not take into account time incurred by Class Counsel after the filing of
6 this Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses and Service Awards. It is anticipated that
7 substantial time will need to be devoted to administering and obtaining final approval
8 of the Settlement.

9 4. My firm and Co-Class Counsel, Greenberg Gross LLP, incurred the
10 entire risk in litigating this case by prosecuting it on a contingent-fee basis with no
11 guarantee of recovery. My firm was forced to forego other employment in order to
12 devote the time necessary to pursue this case. My firm advanced expenses with the
13 understanding that we would be paid a fee and receive reimbursement for expenses
14 only if we successfully obtained a settlement or judgment in this case.

15 5. As of April 13, 2018, there have been no objections filed, either to the
16 Settlement or to the attorneys’ fees, expenses and service awards described in the
17 Settlement Notice.

18 6. Prior to filing this case on November 25, 2015, the law firms of Robinson
19 Calcagnie, Inc. and Greenberg Gross LLP conducted extensive pre-litigation
20 investigation to understand the Defendants’ relationships and business practices. This
21 pre-litigation investigation included numerous witness interviews, review of
22 documents such as SEC filings, Internet-based research, and extensive legal research.
23 The law firms of Robinson Calcagnie, Inc. and Greenberg Gross LLP also interviewed
24 and reviewed the loan files of mortgage borrowers and purchasers of settlement
25 services.

26 7. On November 25, 2015, Plaintiffs Lester L. Hall, Jr., and Timothy L.
27 Strader, Sr. and Susan M. Strader, as trustees of the T/S Strader Family Trust,

1 individually and on behalf of a Class of all similarly situated residential mortgage
2 borrowers and purchasers of settlement services from Defendants from January 31,
3 2005 to the present, filed this Class Action Complaint alleging that PHH, Realogy,
4 and PHH Home Loans—and their subsidiaries and affiliates—violated the prohibition
5 on referral fees and kickbacks in connection with residential mortgage loans under
6 the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974, as amended, 12 U.S.C. §§ 2601
7 et seq. (“RESPA”), and its implementing regulations, 12 C.F.R. §§ 1024.1 et seq.
8 (“Regulation X”) (Dkt. 1).

9 8. In this case, Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants entered a series of illegal
10 contracts to refer to one another “settlement services” in exchange for items of value
11 and other contractual benefits (*i.e.*, kickbacks), which constitute per se violations of
12 RESPA. Specifically, Plaintiffs alleged PHH and Realogy created an ABA (PHH
13 Home Loans), which was designed to facilitate the payment of unlawful referral fees,
14 kickbacks and things of value in exchange for referrals of settlement services among
15 Defendants.

16 9. Around this time, PHH entered into a Strategic Relationship Agreement
17 (“SRA”) with Cendant Corporation, the former parent of both PHH and Realogy, that
18 provided contractually mandated exchanges of value that Plaintiffs alleged violate
19 RESPA. First, Plaintiffs alleged that, prior to an amendment that occurred on October
20 21, 2015, PHH was bound to refer all title insurance and settlement services to
21 Realogy’s subsidiary, TRG. Each customer of PHH Home Loans was also referred to
22 TRG for title insurance and other settlement services. In return, PHH received a
23 variety of monetary and nonmonetary referral fees and kickbacks via its ownership
24 and control of the ABA and PHH’s relationship with Realogy. Pursuant to the SRA,
25 PHH Home Loans was also the exclusively recommended mortgage lender for
26 Realogy’s vast real estate brokerage network.

1 10. Second, PHH managed all aspects of the mortgage process for the PLS
2 Partners. Under this line of business and the SRA, PHH directed the PLS Partners to
3 refer title insurance and other settlement services to Realogy’s subsidiary, TRG,
4 without disclosing to consumers the existence of PHH’s affiliation with TRG or the
5 fact that PHH was required to have the PLS Partners refer title insurance and other
6 settlement services to TRG. TRG charged these borrowers for the referred services
7 and PHH received what Plaintiffs alleged to be kickbacks and fees for the referrals
8 made in the form of, among other things, the right of first refusal over the purchase
9 of mortgage servicing rights.

10 11. On December 10, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint
11 (Dkt. 10), which Defendants moved to dismiss on February 5, 2016 on the basis that
12 Plaintiffs failed to plead sufficient facts for equitable tolling of RESPA’s one-year
13 statute of limitations. (Dkt. 46). Following the Court’s granting of Defendants’ motion
14 to dismiss on April 5, 2016, Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint on April
15 21, 2016 (Dkt. 67), and, pursuant to a joint stipulation granted by the Court, Plaintiffs
16 subsequently filed their Third Amended Complaint on May 12, 2016 (Dkt. 74).
17 Defendants again moved to dismiss on May 26, 2016 (Dkt. 75) on the same grounds.
18 In successfully opposing the motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs argued that under the
19 appropriate Ninth Circuit equitable tolling standard, Plaintiffs had met their burden.
20 The Court denied Defendants’ motion to dismiss on October 6, 2016, finding that
21 Defendants’ contention regarding equitable tolling for the statute of limitation was
22 “better resolved in either a motion for summary judgment or trial” (Dkt. 90).
23 Plaintiffs also produced substantial documents in their possession and responded to
24 discovery.

25 12. After Defendants filed Answers to the Third Amended Complaint (Dkt.
26 91-93), the Parties continued a lengthy and highly contested meet and confer
27 regarding the scope of discovery. Plaintiffs’ discovery, which included 71 Requests
28

1 for Production of Documents, was aimed at understanding the schemes and business
2 relationships, including the reasons for them, alleged in Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended
3 Complaint. Specifically, Plaintiffs were seeking exemplars of the different forms,
4 disclosures, and contracts that Defendants provided to residential homebuyers;
5 Defendants' policies, practices, and procedures related to their marketing, referral,
6 and provision of residential mortgage loans and settlement services; Defendants'
7 policies, practices, and procedures related to their operation of the PHH-Realogy-
8 PHH Home Loans joint venture and PHH's PLS Partner business; documents relating
9 to the nature and extent of Defendants' joint venture or relationship agreements
10 amongst themselves, including communications regarding amendments to the
11 agreements in September and October 2015; communications regarding Defendants'
12 SEC filings in November 2015 that disclosed the amendments to Defendants'
13 agreements; communications regarding Defendants' RESPA compliance, including
14 internal communications related to government investigations and that led up to
15 Defendants' amendment of the SRA; and documents showing settlement amounts
16 charged to putative Class Members. Defendants ultimately produced over 35,000
17 pages of documents to Plaintiffs.

18 13. On January 31, 2017, the Parties participated in a private mediation with
19 Viggo Boserup, Esq. Although the Parties did not reach an agreement to settle at that
20 mediation, they continued to participate in negotiations regarding discovery and other
21 issues. On May 19, 2017, the Parties participated in a settlement conference before
22 the Honorable Jay C. Gandhi, which resulted in an agreement to settle this Action.

23 14. Through arm's-length negotiations, the original named plaintiffs agreed
24 to settle their individual claims and the Parties stipulated to the filing of the Fourth
25 Amended Complaint, which was filed on July 31, 2017 (Dkt. 115), that amended
26 certain claims and added Sheri Dodge, Neil Dodge, Ram Agrawal, and Sarita Agrawal
27 as plaintiffs (Dkt. 108). Following the settlement conference, the Parties engaged in
28

1 confirmatory discovery, including document production, written discovery, and
2 depositions to, among other things, confirm Class Members and the amount each
3 Class Member paid for title- and escrow-related settlement services. Through
4 confirmatory discovery, the Parties have determined from Defendants' records that
5 32,221 transactions fall within the Settlement Class definition. In addition to
6 reviewing relevant pleadings and settlement documents, keeping in constant
7 communication with Plaintiffs' Counsel throughout their involvement in the case, and
8 providing information regarding their residential mortgage loans to Plaintiffs'
9 Counsel, the Class Representatives were actively involved with the confirmatory
10 discovery process.

11 15. On January 29, 2018, the Court issued an Order re: Motion for
12 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Certification of Settlement
13 Class ("Preliminary Approval Order"). (Dkt. 140.) The Preliminary Approval Order,
14 among other things, ordered the Claims Administrator to mail the class notice in
15 accordance with the Amended Stipulation of Settlement by February 12, 2018. To
16 participate in the Settlement, a Class Member need not do anything after receiving the
17 class notice. A Class Member is also able to submit a claim if the Class Member
18 disagrees with the amount of the title-, escrow- and other qualified closing-related
19 charges identified on the individualized class notice sent to the Class Member, object
20 to the Settlement or opt out of the Settlement. As of the date of this filing, twenty-six
21 (26) Class Members have submitted claim forms, zero (0) Class Members have
22 objected to the Settlement and two (2) Class Members have opted out of the
23 Settlement. The Preliminary Approval Order further ordered Plaintiffs to file a
24 motion for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and class representative incentive
25 payments by April 13, 2018.

26 16. The preliminarily approved Settlement requires Defendants to pay
27 \$17,000,000 into a settlement fund from which cash payments will be made to Class
28

1 Members. Subject to the Court’s approval, a portion of the Settlement Fund will be
2 used to pay Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees and reasonable Litigation Expenses,
3 including any incentive awards to the Class Representatives. Pursuant to the
4 Amended Stipulation of Settlement, Class Counsel will not seek a Fee and Expense
5 award in excess of 30% of the Settlement Fund (\$5,100,000) for attorneys’ fees and
6 \$50,000 in expenses, and Defendants will not oppose a Fee and Expense award up to
7 30% of the Settlement Fund (\$5,100,000) for attorneys’ fees and \$50,000 in expenses.
8 *See* Amended Stipulation, ¶ IV.E.2. This agreement, reflecting payments which
9 would be made out of the Settlement Fund, was negotiated after an agreement was
10 reached on all material terms of the Settlement. A portion of the Settlement Fund will
11 be used to pay taxes due on any interest earned by the Settlement Fund, if necessary,
12 and any notice and claims administration expenses permitted by the Court. After the
13 foregoing deductions from the Settlement Fund have been made, the amount
14 remaining (the “Net Settlement Fund”) will be distributed to Class Members. While
15 a Class Member’s share of the Net Settlement Fund will depend on, among other
16 things, (i) the number of Class Members who exclude themselves from the Class, (ii)
17 the amount of administrative costs, including the costs of notice, (iii) the amount
18 awarded by the Court for Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees, reimbursement of Litigation
19 Expenses, and service awards to the Class Representatives, and (iv) the amount of a
20 Class Member’s Final Allowed Claim, Plaintiffs estimate that Class Members will
21 receive between 15% and 20% of their Presumptive Allowed Claim.

22 17. I believe the time expended by my firm in this case was reasonable and
23 necessary in light of the amount of work accomplished, the results obtained and the
24 Defendants’ hard-fought defense of the claims asserted.

25 18. My firm will continue to spend significant time to complete the
26 settlement approval process, including responding to Class Member calls and emails
27 regarding the Settlement, overseeing implementation of the Settlement and the claims

1 administration process, including addressing any claim review and monetary
2 distribution issues, briefing the final approval opening brief and reply, and attending
3 the final approval hearing. We will receive no further compensation for this work,
4 even though the work to be completed on the settlement process will be significant.

5 19. The following information regarding my firm's time and out-of-pocket
6 expenses is taken from time and expense records prepared and maintained
7 contemporaneously by the firm in the ordinary course of business. The expense
8 records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other
9 documents and are an accurate record of the expenses. I reviewed the printouts and
10 also reviewed the backup documentation where necessary. The purpose of these
11 reviews was to confirm the accuracy of the entries on the printouts as well as the
12 reasonableness of the time and expenses committed to the Litigation.

13 20. The following is a summary of the hours expended by each timekeeper
14 from my firm who performed work in this case since the inception of the case through
15 the present. The schedule includes the name of each person who worked on the case,
16 hourly billing rates, the number of hours expended and the resulting lodestar for each
17 timekeeper. The backgrounds and qualifications of the attorneys who worked on the
18 matter are set forth in the Firm Resume, which is attached thereto as **Exhibit 1**. When
19 he performed work on this case, Patrick R. Gutierrez was an associate of the firm who
20 graduated from Stanford Law School in 2014.

Attorney/Paralegal	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Daniel S. Robinson (Partner)	710.5	\$800	\$568,400.00
Wesley K. Polischuk (Associate)	793.1	\$625	\$495,687.50
Michael W. Olson (Associate)	180.2	\$400	\$72,080.00
Patrick R. Gutierrez (Associate)	62.1	\$400	\$24,840.00

Attorney/Paralegal	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Jennifer D. Rogers (Paralegal)	46.4	\$225	\$10,440.00
TOTALS	1,792.3		\$1,171,447.50

21. As reflected in this chart, the number of hours spent on this case by these timekeepers at my firm as of April 13, 2018, was 1,792.3 hours. The total lodestar for attorney and paralegal time is \$1,171,447.50.

22. The hourly rates shown above are the usual and customary rates set by my firm for each individual. These rates have been approved as reasonable by other federal district courts in California (and elsewhere) and by California state courts, some of which are cited in the concurrently filed memorandum in support of the attorneys' fee request. Further, based on my knowledge of the class action plaintiff's bar in California, the rates charged by my firm are in line with the rates charged by other firms that handle class actions of similar size and complexity. In addition, the rates are consistent with rates reported in applicable billing surveys. The 2014 National Law Journal Billing Survey is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**. This supports the reasonableness of the submitted hourly rates.

23. The expenses for which reimbursement is sought are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient litigation of the case. In addition, I believe the expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace and have actually been charged by my firm to fee-paying clients.


24. My firm seeks to be reimbursed \$15,044.63 in out-of-pocket litigation expenses as summarized below:

Expense Category	Total
Travel and Transportation	\$4,180.04
Postage/FedEx/Delivery Charges	\$1,048.62

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Expense Category	Total
Filing Fees	\$534.19
Westlaw/PACER	\$1,228.80
Conference Calls	\$202.89
Photocopies/Printing – In House	\$89.81
Experts/Consultants	\$3,378.68
Mediation	\$2,450.00
Depositions	\$1,931.60
TOTALS	\$15,044.63

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this 13th day of April 2018, at Newport Beach, California.



Daniel S. Robinson

EXHIBIT 1



19 CORPORATE PLAZA DRIVE, NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660
TELEPHONE: (949) 720-1288 • FACSIMILE: (949) 720-1292
WWW.ROBINSONFIRM.COM

PRESIDENT & SHAREHOLDER
MARK P. ROBINSON, JR.
KEVIN F. CALCAGNIE
JEFFREY L. ROBINSON
ALLAN F. DAVIS
DANIEL S. ROBINSON*
*Admitted In CA, NY, PA
SCOT D. WILSON
SHANNON M. LUKEI
MICHELLE M. WEST

Firm Resume

Headquartered in Newport Beach, California, the law firm of Robinson Calcagnie, Inc. is a nationally recognized leader in representing plaintiffs in consumer class actions, catastrophic injury and wrongful death cases. As one of the nation's leading class action and product liability firms, the firm's attorneys and staff have built of a reputation for success in all areas of civil litigation, including numerous high-profile cases. In 1979, Founding and Senior Partner Mark P. Robinson, Jr., obtained an unprecedented \$128 million award in the landmark Ford Pinto fire case of *Grimshaw v. Ford Motor Company* (119 Cal.App.3d 757), which at that time was the largest jury verdict in a personal injury case.

Since 1979, the firm has become known for providing the highest quality legal representation and leadership in coordinated Multidistrict Litigation cases, and for obtaining substantial jury verdicts, judgments and settlements for its clients. The firm leads and litigates cases nationwide, serving as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel in *In re Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig.* (MDL No. 2151) in the Central District of California, Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member in *In re GM Ignition Switch Litig.* (MDL No. 2543) in the Southern District of New York, Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member in *In re DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., ASR Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.* (MDL No. 2197) in the Northern District of Ohio and Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member in *In re Actos (Pioglitazone) Prods. Liab. Litig.* (MDL No. 2299) in the Western District of Louisiana.

The firm recently served as Co-Lead Counsel in *St. Joseph Health System Medical Information Cases*, Judicial Council Coordinated Proceeding No. 4716, a data breach class action resulting in a \$39.5 million settlement, including the highest per person cash payment to date in any data breach case.

RECENT LEADERSHIP POSITIONS

Consumer Litigation

Mark P. Robinson, Jr.

- *In re Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2151, United States District Court, Central District of California (Co-Lead Counsel)
- *In re GM Ignition Switch Litig.*, MDL No. 2543, United States District Court, Southern District of New York (Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member)
- *In re Bridgestone/Firestone Inc., Tires Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1373, United States District Court, Southern District of Indiana (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)
- *In re Tobacco II Cases* (2009) 46 Cal. 4th 298, San Diego County Superior Court (Lead Trial Counsel)
- *County of Los Angeles v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.* (Co-Lead Counsel)
- *Gray Davis, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.* (Co-Lead Counsel)
- *People of the State of Calif. v. Atlantic Richfield Co.* (Lead Associate Counsel for Orange County District Attorney)
- *People of the State of Cal. v. Shell* (Lead Associate Counsel for Orange County District Attorney)

Daniel S. Robinson

- *St. Joseph Health System Medical Information Cases*, JCCP No. 4716, Orange County Superior Court (Co-Lead Counsel)
- *Blue Cross of California Website Security Cases*, JCCP No. 4647, Orange County Superior Court (Lead Counsel)
- *In re Experian Data Breach Litigation*, Case No. 15-cv-1592, United States District Court, Central District of California (Interim Co-Lead Counsel)

Defective Drugs and Devices

Mark P. Robinson, Jr.

- *In re Actos (Pioglitazone) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2299, United States District Court, Western District of Louisiana (Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member)
- *In re Bextra and Celebrex Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1699, United States District Court, Northern District of California (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)
- *In re DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., ASR Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2197, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio (Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member)
- *In re Medtronic, Inc. Sprint Fidelis Leads Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1905, United States District Court, District of Minnesota (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)
- *In re Vioxx Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1657, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)
- *In re Yasmin and YAZ (Drospirenone) Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2100, United States District Court, Southern District of Illinois (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)
- *In re Zolof (Sertraline Hydrochloride) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2342, United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Co-Lead Counsel)
- *In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1596, United States District Court, Eastern District of New York (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Member)

Daniel S. Robinson

- *In re Biomet M2a Magnum Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2391, United States District Court, Northern District of Indiana (Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member)
- *In re Heparin Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1953, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio (Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Member)
- *Risperdal® and Invega® Product Liability Cases*, JCCP No. 4775, Los Angeles County Superior Court (Co-Lead Counsel)



Daniel S. Robinson

Partner

Daniel S. Robinson is a partner at Robinson Calcagnie, Inc., focusing on civil litigation. Mr. Robinson is admitted to practice law in New York, Pennsylvania and California. Mr. Robinson has conducted several trials to date, including a 17-day trial in 2010 where a Los Angeles jury returned a verdict of \$14,548,350.76 for a plaintiff who became a paraplegic when defendant's SUV ran a stop sign.

Mr. Robinson also handles cases involving significant privacy violations, class actions and general business litigation. On February 10, 2016, Mr. Robinson was appointed Interim Co-lead Counsel in *In re Experian Data Breach Cases* by the Hon. Andrew J. Guilford. On September 12, 2012, Mr. Robinson was appointed Co-Lead Counsel in *St. Joseph Health System Medical Information Cases*, JCCP No. 4716, by the Hon. Kim G. Dunning. On March 14, 2011, Mr. Robinson was appointed Lead Counsel in JCCP 4647, *In Blue Cross of California Website Security Cases*, where he secured millions of dollars in benefits for over 640,000 WellPoint customers whose information and health records were disclosed on the Internet.

In 2015, Mr. Robinson was selected for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America*[®], a nationwide peer-reviewed survey, which is the third consecutive year he has been included. In June 2015, Mr. Robinson was selected by the *Daily Journal* as one of the Top 25 Plaintiffs Lawyers in California for 2015, and in January 2015, he was named as one of the *Daily Journal's* Top 20 Attorneys Under 40 in California for 2015. He was named a Super Lawyer by *Super Lawyers Magazine* in 2015 and 2014, and a Super Lawyers Rising Star in 2013 and 2012. In 2014, Mr. Robinson received the American Association of Justice Wiedemann & Wysocki Award for demonstrating a "commitment to the profession and support for improving the civil justice system." In 2012, The National Trial Lawyers named Mr. Robinson as one of the Top 40 Lawyers Under 40 in the United States. Mr. Robinson was awarded the 2011 Young Gun Award by the Orange County Trial Lawyers Association for "exceptional trial skills, ideals of legal ethics, and dedication to the principal of preserving access to a justice system for every person."

In 2014, Mr. Robinson was appointed Co-Lead Counsel in the *Risperdal*[®] and *Invega*[®] *Product Liability Cases*, JCCP No. 4775, by the Hon. William F. Highberger. In 2012, Mr. Robinson was appointed to the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in the Biomet M2a Magnum MDL by the Hon. Robert L. Miller, Jr. In 2012, Mr. Robinson was appointed to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Actos Product Liability Cases*, JCCP No. 4696, by the Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman. Mr. Robinson was also selected to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Fosamax/Alendronate Sodium Drug Cases*, JCCP No. 4644. In 2009, Mr. Robinson was appointed to the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for the Contaminated Heparin Litigation, MDL 1953, by the Hon. James G. Carr.

Before working at Robinson Calcagnie, Inc., Mr. Robinson was a civil litigator at O'Melveny & Myers, LLP, where he handled matters of general business litigation. Prior to that, Mr. Robinson served as an Assistant District Attorney in the New York County District Attorney's Office under the Hon. Robert M. Morgenthau, where Mr. Robinson conducted numerous criminal trials, investigations and grand jury proceedings in the Trial Bureau Division and the Domestic Violence, Public Assistance Fraud, Counterfeit Trafficking, and Identity Theft prosecution units.

19 Corporate Plaza Drive
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 720-1288
drobinson@robinsonfirm.com

Practice Areas

Product Liability
Personal Injury
Consumer Class Actions
Consumer and Data Privacy
Pharmaceutical and Medical
Device

Education

Williams College – B.A., 1998,
English

Loyola Law School – J.D.,
Thomas More Society, 2003

Bar Admissions

2004, New York; 2006,
California;
2011, Pennsylvania

Publications

*Using Expert Witnesses, Anatomy
of a Personal Injury Lawsuit*
(AAJ Press 2015)



Wesley K. Polischuk

Attorney

Wesley K. Polischuk is an attorney at Robinson Calcagnie, Inc. where he represents plaintiffs in product liability, pharmaceutical, medical device and personal injury cases, in addition to class action litigation involving fraud, misrepresentation, consumer and data privacy and other consumer protection.

Specifically, Mr. Polischuk represents plaintiffs involving the following pharmaceuticals and medical devices: YAZ/Yasmin/Ocella (*In re Yasmin and YAZ (Drospirenone) Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2100, United States District Court, Southern District of Illinois), DePuy ASR hip implants (*In re DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., ASR Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2197, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio), DePuy Pinnacle hip implants (*In re DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., Pinnacle Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2244, United States District Court, Northern District of Texas), Stryker Rejuvenate hip implants (*In re Stryker Rejuvenate and ABG II Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2441, United States District Court, District of Minnesota), Xarelto (*In re Xarelto (Rivaroxaban) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2592, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana), Risperdal (*Risperdal and Invega Product Liability Cases*, JCCP No. 4775, Los Angeles County Superior Court), Testosterone (*In re Testosterone Replacement Therapy Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2545, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois), Wright hip implant (*Wright Hip System Cases*, JCCP No. 4710, Los Angeles County Superior Court) and Biomet hip implant (*In re Biomet M2a Magnum Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2391, United States District Court, Northern District of Indiana).

Mr. Polischuk also handles cases involving harm resulting from the wrongful disclosure of personal, health and other protected information. Along with Robinson Calcagnie, Inc. Partner Daniel S. Robinson, he successfully represented tens of thousands of consumers in *St. Joseph Health System Medical Information Cases*, JCCP No. 4716, which resulted in a \$39.5 million settlement on behalf of consumers just prior to the start of trial. Mr. Polischuk was also involved in *Blue Cross of California Website Security Cases*, which resulted in a settlement providing millions of dollars in benefits for over 640,000 WellPoint customers whose information and health records were disclosed on the Internet.

Mr. Polischuk was named a Super Lawyers Rising Star by *Super Lawyers Magazine* from 2013 to 2016. He is an Associate Board Member of the Orange County Bar Foundation, which provides integrated prevention and intervention services to at-risk youth in Orange County that address barriers to education, health, youth crime, teen pregnancy, and substance abuse. He is a member of the American Association for Justice, Consumer Attorneys of California and the Orange County Trial Lawyers Association.

19 Corporate Plaza Drive
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 720-1288
wpolischuk@robinsonfirm.com

Practice Areas

Product Liability
Personal Injury
Consumer Class Actions
Consumer and Data Privacy
Pharmaceutical and Medical
Device

Education

University of California, San Diego – B.A., Department Honors in Economics with Distinction, 2004, Economics

California Western School of Law – J.D., *cum laude*, 2007

Publications

Is Your Client an Online Social Butterfly, Trial (October 2010)



Michael W. Olson

Attorney

Michael W. Olson joined Robinson Calcagnie, Inc. in 2016 where he primarily represents plaintiffs in mass tort and class actions involving consumer protection, data security, and product liability cases.

Mr. Olson received his law degree from the University of California, Irvine School of Law, where he served on the board of the UC Irvine Moot Court competition and as a staff editor on the UC Irvine Law Review. Mr. Olson also participated in the UC Irvine Appellate Litigation Clinic, where he argued an immigration case before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. During law school, Mr. Olson served as a law clerk under the Honorable Theodor C. Albert of the United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, and he externed for the United States Attorney's Office, Criminal Division in Santa Ana.

Following his second year at UCI Law, Mr. Olson worked at the Appeals, Writs, and Trials section of the California Attorney General's Office in Los Angeles, where he drafted respondent's briefs to criminal appeals and successfully argued several cases before the Second District of the California Court of Appeal. After taking the California Bar Exam, Mr. Olson returned to the Appeals, Writs, and Trials section where he continued to draft respondent's briefs and argued cases.

19 Corporate Plaza Drive
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 720-1288
molson@robinsonfirm.com

Practice Areas

Product Liability
Personal Injury
Consumer Class Actions
Consumer and Data Privacy
Pharmaceutical and Medical
Device

Education

University of California, Los Angeles – B.A., History, *cum laude*, 2011

University of California, Irvine School of Law – J.D., 2016, Staff Editor, *UC Irvine Law Review*

EXHIBIT 2



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

Year	Firm Name	Location	Average FTE Attorneys	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Avg	Counsel Low	Counsel High	NLJ Billing Source	Notes
2014	Adams and Reese	New Orleans, LA	318	\$700.00	\$305.00	\$420.00	\$315.00	\$220.00	\$270.00	\$500.00	\$425.00	\$575.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Akerman	Miami, FL	523	\$880.00	\$360.00	\$535.00	\$465.00	\$205.00	\$305.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld	Washington, DC	809	\$1220.00	\$615.00	\$785.00	\$660.00	\$365.00	\$525.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis	Los Angeles, CA	181	\$680.00	\$525.00	\$615.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Alston & Bird	Atlanta, GA	789	\$875.00	\$495.00	\$675.00	\$575.00	\$280.00	\$425.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Andrews Kurth	Houston, TX	337	\$1090.00	\$745.00	\$890.00	\$1090.00	\$265.00	\$670.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Archer & Greiner	Haddonfield, NJ	194	\$460.00	\$330.00	\$400.00	\$295.00	\$200.00	\$245.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arent Fox	Washington, DC	330	\$860.00	\$500.00	\$650.00	\$595.00	\$275.00	\$395.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Arnall Golden Gregory	Atlanta, GA	140	\$520.00	\$430.00	\$490.00								National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arnold & Porter	Washington, DC	720	\$950.00	\$670.00	\$815.00	\$610.00	\$345.00	\$500.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arnstein & Lehr	Chicago, IL	144	\$595.00	\$350.00	\$465.00	\$350.00	\$175.00	\$250.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker & Hostetler	Cleveland, OH	798	\$670.00	\$275.00	\$449.00	\$350.00	\$210.00	\$272.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker & McKenzie	Chicago, IL	4087	\$1130.00	\$260.00	\$755.00	\$925.00	\$100.00	\$395.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz	Memphis, TN	588	\$495.00	\$340.00	\$400.00	\$465.00	\$245.00	\$295.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ballard Spahr	Philadelphia, PA	483	\$650.00	\$395.00	\$475.00	\$495.00	\$235.00	\$315.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Barnes & Thornburg	Indianapolis, IN	522	\$580.00	\$330.00	\$480.00	\$370.00	\$260.00	\$320.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Aronoff	Cleveland, OH	150	\$635.00	\$360.00	\$455.00	\$475.00	\$155.00	\$280.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Best Best & Krieger	Riverside, CA	176	\$655.00	\$340.00	\$455.00	\$385.00	\$235.00	\$280.00	\$439.83	\$340.00	\$595.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Bingham McCutchen	Boston, MA	795	\$1080.00	\$220.00	\$795.00	\$605.00	\$185.00	\$450.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Blank Rome	Philadelphia, PA	447	\$940.00	\$445.00	\$640.00	\$565.00	\$175.00	\$350.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bond, Schoeneck & King	Syracuse, NY	198	\$520.00	\$240.00	\$355.00	\$310.00	\$160.00	\$225.00	\$360.00	\$275.00	\$485.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bowles Rice	Charleston, WV	140	\$285.00	\$165.00	\$230.00	\$180.00	\$115.00	\$135.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bracewell & Giuliani	Houston, TX	441	\$1125.00	\$575.00	\$760.00	\$700.00	\$275.00	\$440.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bradley Arant Boult Cummings	Birmingham, AL	413	\$605.00	\$325.00	\$430.00	\$340.00	\$200.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Broad and Cassel	Orlando, FL	150	\$465.00	\$295.00	\$380.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Brown Rudnick	Boston, MA	187	\$1045.00	\$650.00	\$856.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck	Denver, CO	214	\$700.00	\$310.00	\$520.00	\$345.00	\$265.00	\$305.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bryan Cave	St. Louis, MO	985	\$900.00	\$410.00	\$620.00	\$595.00	\$220.00	\$405.00	\$635.00	\$355.00	\$865.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Buchalter Nemer	Los Angeles, CA	139	\$695.00	\$475.00	\$605.00	\$375.00	\$350.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Burr & Forman	Birmingham, AL	261	\$525.00	\$300.00	\$371.00	\$275.00	\$200.00	\$241.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Butler Snow	Ridgeland, MS	280	\$335.00	\$235.00	\$302.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft	New York, NY	437	\$1050.00	\$800.00	\$930.00	\$750.00	\$395.00	\$605.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Carlton Fields	Tampa, FL	272	\$840.00	\$455.00	\$600.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman & Leonard	Hackensack, NJ	118	\$730.00	\$590.00	\$653.00	\$340.00	\$275.00	\$302.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Connell Foley	Roseland, NJ	129	\$575.00	\$275.00	\$425.00	\$325.00	\$200.00	\$265.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cooley	Palo Alto, CA	673	\$990.00	\$660.00	\$820.00	\$640.00	\$335.00	\$515.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Covington & Burling	Washington, DC	760	\$890.00	\$605.00	\$780.00	\$565.00	\$320.00	\$415.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cozen O'Connor	Philadelphia, PA	495	\$1135.00	\$275.00	\$570.00	\$640.00	\$180.00	\$355.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle	New York, NY	323	\$860.00	\$730.00	\$800.00	\$785.00	\$345.00	\$480.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Davis Graham & Stubbs	Denver, CO	145	\$635.00	\$315.00	\$435.00	\$350.00	\$200.00	\$255.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Davis Polk & Wardwell	New York, NY	810	\$985.00	\$850.00	\$975.00	\$975.00	\$130.00	\$615.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Debevoise & Plimpton	New York, NY	595	\$1075.00	\$955.00	\$1055.00	\$760.00	\$120.00	\$490.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dechert	New York, NY	845	\$1095.00	\$670.00	\$900.00	\$735.00	\$395.00	\$530.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dentons	New York, NY	2503	\$1050.00	\$345.00	\$700.00	\$685.00	\$210.00	\$425.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dickstein Shapiro	Washington, DC	254	\$1250.00	\$590.00	\$750.00	\$585.00	\$310.00	\$475.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dinsmore & Shohl	Cincinnati, OH	415	\$850.00	\$250.00	\$411.00	\$365.00	\$160.00	\$238.00	\$360.00	\$150.00	\$615.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	DLA Piper	New York, NY	3962	\$1025.00	\$450.00	\$765.00	\$750.00	\$250.00	\$510.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dorsey & Whitney	Minneapolis, MN	501	\$585.00	\$340.00	\$435.00	\$510.00	\$215.00	\$315.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Duane Morris	Philadelphia, PA	613	\$960.00	\$415.00	\$589.00	\$585.00	\$280.00	\$373.00	\$638.00	\$460.00	\$1015.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Edwards Wildman Palmer	Boston, MA	540	\$765.00	\$210.00	\$535.00	\$415.00	\$245.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Faegre Baker Daniels	Minneapolis, MN	673	\$580.00	\$355.00	\$455.00	\$315.00	\$110.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Foley & Lardner	Milwaukee, WI	844	\$860.00	\$405.00	\$600.00	\$470.00	\$210.00	\$335.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Foley Hoag	Boston, MA	221	\$775.00	\$590.00	\$670.00	\$385.00	\$290.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Fox Rothschild	Philadelphia, PA	531	\$750.00	\$335.00	\$530.00	\$500.00	\$245.00	\$310.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	New York, NY	450	\$1100.00	\$930.00	\$1000.00	\$760.00	\$375.00	\$595.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Frost Brown Todd	Cincinnati, OH	414	\$600.00	\$220.00	\$387.00	\$315.00	\$150.00	\$234.00	\$417.00	\$350.00	\$540.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gardere Wynne Sewell	Dallas, TX	218	\$775.00	\$430.00	\$635.00	\$330.00	\$290.00	\$303.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gibbons	Newark, NJ	201	\$865.00	\$440.00	\$560.00	\$475.00	\$295.00	\$360.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher	New York, NY	1154	\$1800.00	\$765.00	\$980.00	\$930.00	\$175.00	\$590.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani	San Diego, CA	478	\$475.00	\$375.00	\$420.00	\$325.00	\$285.00	\$300.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Greenberg Traurig	New York, NY	1690	\$955.00	\$535.00	\$763.00	\$570.00	\$325.00	\$470.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Harris Beach	Rochester, NY	198	\$400.00	\$298.00	\$348.00	\$285.00	\$175.00	\$230.00	\$287.50	\$175.00	\$400.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Harter Secrest & Emery	Rochester, NY	132	\$465.00	\$300.00	\$385.00	\$290.00	\$195.00	\$250.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Haynes and Boone	Dallas, TX	483	\$1020.00	\$450.00	\$670.00	\$580.00	\$310.00	\$405.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Hogan Lovells	Washington, DC	2313	\$1000.00	\$705.00	\$835.00								National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Holland & Hart	Denver, CO	423	\$725.00	\$305.00	\$442.00	\$425.00	\$175.00	\$277.00	\$363.00	\$225.00	\$535.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Holland & Knight	Washington, DC	956	\$1085.00	\$355.00	\$625.00	\$595.00	\$210.00	\$340.00	\$575.00	\$420.00	\$910.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn	Detroit, MI	231	\$560.00	\$290.00	\$390.00	\$225.00	\$205.00	\$220.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Hughes Hubbard & Reed	New York, NY	351	\$995.00	\$725.00	\$890.00	\$675.00	\$365.00	\$555.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Husch Blackwell	St. Louis, MO	539	\$785.00	\$250.00	\$449.00	\$440.00	\$190.00	\$275.00	\$418.00	\$240.00	\$625.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ice Miller	Indianapolis, IN	291	\$530.00	\$335.00	\$450.00	\$305.00	\$245.00	\$270.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Irell & Manella	Los Angeles, CA	166	\$975.00	\$800.00	\$890.00	\$750.00	\$395.00	\$535.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Kelly	Charleston, WV	179	\$535.00	\$270.00	\$345.00	\$315.00	\$200.00	\$243.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Lewis	Los Angeles, CA	724	\$440.00	\$310.00	\$380.00	\$315.00	\$275.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Walker	Dallas, TX	333	\$675.00	\$575.00	\$622.00	\$385.00	\$255.00	\$335.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Mitchell	Los Angeles, CA	125	\$875.00	\$560.00	\$690.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jenner & Block	Chicago, IL	434	\$925.00	\$565.00	\$745.00	\$550.00	\$380.00	\$465.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jones Day	New York, NY	2464	\$975.00	\$445.00	\$745.00	\$775.00	\$205.00	\$435.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Jones Walker	New Orleans, LA	363	\$425.00	\$275.00	\$385.00	\$240.00	\$200.00	\$225.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman	New York, NY	372	\$1195.00	\$600.00	\$835.00	\$625.00	\$200.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Chicago, IL	612	\$745.00	\$500.00	\$615.00	\$595.00	\$340.00	\$455.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kaye Scholer	New York, NY	392	\$1250.00	\$725.00	\$860.00	\$795.00	\$370.00	\$597.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kelley Drye & Warren	New York, NY	293	\$815.00	\$435.00	\$640.00	\$600.00	\$305.00	\$430.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton	Atlanta, GA	561	\$775.00	\$400.00	\$550.00	\$475.00	\$315.00	\$385.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	King & Spalding	Atlanta, GA	874	\$995.00	\$545.00	\$775.00	\$735.00	\$125.00	\$460.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kirkland & Ellis	Chicago, IL	1554	\$995.00	\$590.00	\$825.00	\$715.00	\$235.00	\$540.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear	Irvine, CA	260	\$810.00	\$450.00	\$575.00	\$455.00	\$305.00	\$360.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel	New York, NY	313	\$1100.00	\$745.00	\$921.00	\$815.00	\$515.00	\$675.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Lane Powell	Seattle, WA	170	\$675.00	\$375.00	\$516.00	\$425.00	\$260.00	\$331.00	\$477.00	\$300.00	\$650.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Latham & Watkins	New York, NY	2060	\$1110.00	\$895.00	\$990.00	\$725.00	\$465.00	\$605.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lathrop & Gage	Kansas City, MO	283	\$700.00	\$285.00	\$420.00	\$375.00	\$195.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lewis Roca Rothgerber	Phoenix, AZ	228	\$695.00	\$380.00	\$505.00	\$525.00	\$205.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lindquist & Vennum	Minneapolis, MN	178	\$600.00	\$460.00	\$520.00	\$470.00	\$275.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Littler Mendelson	San Francisco, CA	1002	\$615.00	\$395.00	\$550.00	\$420.00	\$245.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lowenstein Sandler	Roseland, NJ	261	\$990.00	\$600.00	\$765.00	\$650.00	\$300.00	\$450.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Manatt, Phelps & Phillips	Los Angeles, CA	329	\$795.00	\$640.00	\$740.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McCarter & English	Newark, NJ	371	\$625.00	\$450.00	\$530.00	\$370.00	\$220.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McDermott Will & Emery	Chicago, IL	1021	\$835.00	\$525.00	\$710.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter	Morristown, NJ	274	\$560.00	\$325.00	\$445.00	\$335.00	\$200.00	\$295.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McGuireWoods	Richmond, VA	931	\$725.00	\$450.00	\$595.00	\$525.00	\$285.00	\$360.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McKenna Long & Aldridge	Atlanta, GA	518	\$650.00	\$480.00	\$530.00	\$425.00	\$375.00	\$395.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Michael, Best & Friedrich	Milwaukee, WI	189	\$650.00	\$235.00	\$445.00	\$425.00	\$200.00	\$283.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Miles & Stockbridge	Baltimore, MD	226	\$740.00	\$340.00	\$478.00	\$425.00	\$230.00	\$290.00	\$419.00	\$225.00	\$695.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Moore & Van Allen	Charlotte, NC	274	\$870.00	\$315.00	\$490.00	\$430.00	\$190.00	\$280.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	Philadelphia, PA	1363	\$765.00	\$430.00	\$620.00	\$585.00	\$270.00	\$390.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morris, Manning & Martin	Atlanta, GA	148	\$575.00	\$400.00	\$480.00								National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morrison & Foerster	San Francisco, CA	1020	\$1195.00	\$595.00	\$865.00	\$725.00	\$230.00	\$525.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nelson Mullins	Columbia, SC	466	\$800.00	\$250.00	\$444.00	\$395.00	\$215.00	\$271.00	\$376.00	\$195.00	\$600.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Nixon Peabody	Boston, MA	584	\$850.00	\$295.00	\$520.00	\$550.00	\$180.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Norris McLaughlin & Marcus	Bridgewater, NJ	128	\$505.00	\$485.00	\$495.00	\$365.00	\$185.00	\$275.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Norton Rose Fulbright	Houston, TX	3537	\$900.00	\$525.00	\$775.00	\$515.00	\$300.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nossaman	Los Angeles, CA	148	\$800.00	\$370.00	\$579.00	\$490.00	\$255.00	\$340.00	\$495.00	\$440.00	\$550.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nutter McClennen & Fish	Boston, MA	146	\$715.00	\$470.00	\$575.00	\$460.00	\$295.00	\$375.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ogletree Deakins	Atlanta, GA	668	\$650.00	\$250.00	\$360.00	\$365.00	\$200.00	\$260.00	\$315.00	\$230.00	\$555.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	O'Melveny & Myers	Los Angeles, CA	721	\$950.00	\$615.00	\$715.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe	New York, NY	954	\$1095.00	\$715.00	\$845.00	\$375.00	\$710.00	\$560.00	\$735.00	\$685.00	\$850.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein	Charlotte, NC	185	\$500.00	\$425.00	\$450.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Paul Hastings	New York, NY	889	\$900.00	\$750.00	\$815.00	\$755.00	\$335.00	\$540.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	New York, NY	854	\$1120.00	\$760.00	\$1040.00	\$735.00	\$595.00	\$678.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Pepper Hamilton	Philadelphia, PA	510	\$950.00	\$465.00	\$645.00	\$525.00	\$280.00	\$390.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Perkins Coie	Seattle, WA	861	\$1000.00	\$330.00	\$615.00	\$610.00	\$215.00	\$425.00	\$635.00	\$280.00	\$800.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman	Washington, DC	591	\$1070.00	\$615.00	\$865.00	\$860.00	\$375.00	\$520.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Polsinelli	Kansas City, MO	616	\$775.00	\$325.00	\$435.00	\$350.00	\$235.00	\$279.00	\$376.00	\$300.00	\$450.00		National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Proskauer Rose	New York, NY	712	\$950.00	\$725.00	\$880.00	\$675.00	\$295.00	\$465.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Quarles & Brady	Milwaukee, WI	422	\$625.00	\$425.00	\$519.00	\$600.00	\$210.00	\$335.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan	New York, NY	673	\$1075.00	\$810.00	\$915.00	\$675.00	\$320.00	\$410.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Reed Smith	Pittsburgh, PA	1555	\$890.00	\$605.00	\$737.00	\$530.00	\$295.00	\$420.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Richards, Layton & Finger	Wilmington, DE	124	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$678.00	\$465.00	\$350.00	\$414.00					National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland & Perretti	Morristown, NJ	146	\$495.00	\$430.00	\$455.00	\$295.00	\$210.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Robinson & Cole	Hartford, CT	201	\$700.00	\$295.00	\$500.00	\$445.00	\$215.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Rutan & Tucker	Costa Mesa, CA	147	\$675.00	\$345.00	\$490.00	\$500.00	\$230.00	\$320.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Saul Ewing	Philadelphia, PA	240	\$875.00	\$375.00	\$546.00	\$590.00	\$225.00	\$344.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Schiff Hardin	Chicago, IL	317				\$415.00	\$250.00	\$333.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sedgwick	San Francisco, CA	342	\$615.00	\$305.00	\$425.00	\$475.00	\$250.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Seward & Kissel	New York, NY	143	\$850.00	\$625.00	\$735.00	\$600.00	\$290.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Seyfarth Shaw	Chicago, IL	779	\$860.00	\$375.00	\$610.00	\$505.00	\$225.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton	Los Angeles, CA	549	\$875.00	\$490.00	\$685.00	\$535.00	\$275.00	\$415.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Shumaker Loop & Kendrick	Toledo, OH	224	\$595.00	\$305.00	\$413.00	\$330.00	\$160.00	\$256.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Shutts & Bowen	Miami, FL	230	\$660.00	\$250.00	\$430.00	\$345.00	\$195.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom	New York, NY	1664	\$1150.00	\$845.00	\$1035.00	\$845.00	\$340.00	\$620.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Snell & Wilmer	Phoenix, AZ	411	\$845.00	\$325.00	\$525.00	\$470.00	\$180.00	\$280.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Spilman Thomas & Battle	Charleston, WV	131							\$280.00	\$215.00	\$350.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Squire Patton Boggs			\$950.00	\$350.00	\$655.00	\$530.00	\$250.00	\$355.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Location data not available due to merger in 2014. Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox	Washington, DC	122	\$795.00	\$450.00	\$577.00	\$470.00	\$265.00	\$346.00	\$483.57	\$450.00	\$520.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stevens & Lee	Reading, PA	154	\$800.00	\$525.00	\$625.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stoel Rives	Portland, OR	365	\$800.00	\$300.00	\$492.00	\$465.00	\$205.00	\$287.00	\$312.00	\$280.00	\$510.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Strasburger & Price	Dallas, TX	217	\$690.00	\$290.00	\$435.00	\$365.00	\$210.00	\$270.00	\$475.00	\$300.00	\$690.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan	New York, NY	285	\$1125.00	\$675.00	\$960.00	\$840.00	\$350.00	\$549.00	\$979.00	\$745.00	\$1095.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Taft Stettinius & Hollister	Cincinnati, OH	357	\$535.00	\$285.00	\$415.00	\$475.00	\$200.00	\$285.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Thompson & Knight	Dallas, TX	290	\$740.00	\$425.00	\$535.00	\$610.00	\$240.00	\$370.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Thompson Coburn	St. Louis, MO	317	\$510.00	\$330.00	\$440.00	\$350.00	\$220.00	\$270.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Troutman Sanders	Atlanta, GA	567	\$975.00	\$400.00	\$620.00	\$570.00	\$245.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ulmer & Berne	Cleveland, OH	178	\$415.00	\$315.00	\$380.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Varnum	Grand Rapids, MI	133	\$465.00	\$290.00	\$390.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Venable	Washington, DC	533	\$1075.00	\$470.00	\$660.00	\$575.00	\$295.00	\$430.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Vinson & Elkins	Houston, TX	650	\$770.00	\$475.00	\$600.00	\$565.00	\$275.00	\$390.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis	Nashville, TN	178	\$600.00	\$350.00	\$460.00	\$335.00	\$190.00	\$245.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Weil, Gotshal & Manges	New York, NY	1157	\$1075.00	\$625.00	\$930.00	\$790.00	\$300.00	\$600.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report



2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	White & Case	New York, NY	1895	\$1050.00	\$700.00	\$875.00	\$1050.00	\$220.00	\$525.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wiley Rein	Washington, DC	277	\$950.00	\$550.00	\$665.00	\$535.00	\$320.00	\$445.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Williams Mullen	Richmond, VA	233	\$410.00	\$360.00	\$385.00	\$350.00	\$260.00	\$295.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Willkie Farr & Gallagher	New York, NY	526	\$1090.00	\$790.00	\$950.00	\$790.00	\$350.00	\$580.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr	Washington, DC	988	\$1250.00	\$735.00	\$905.00	\$695.00	\$75.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Winston & Strawn	Chicago, IL	822	\$995.00	\$650.00	\$800.00	\$590.00	\$425.00	\$520.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wolff & Samson	West Orange, NJ	125	\$450.00	\$325.00	\$400.00	\$450.00	\$225.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice	Winston-Salem, NC	492	\$640.00	\$470.00	\$554.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wyatt Tarrant & Combs	Louisville, KY	202	\$500.00	\$280.00	\$418.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 Associate Class Billing Survey

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 13, 2018, I caused to be filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 13, 2018

/s/ Daniel S. Robinson
Daniel S. Robinson